

Defendant failed to file a reply brief in support of its motion, or notice of intent not to reply, as required by Local Rule 7.1 (E). After being contacted by the undersigned's staff, Defendant's counsel confirmed that most of the issues raised by the motion to compel have been resolved, with

the exception of relevant medical records. Counsel acknowledged that Plaintiff has agreed to provide relevant medical records once a protective order is entered in this case.

Based on the foregoing, the undersigned finds good cause to deny the pending motion without prejudice. If Plaintiff fails to appropriately supplement her discovery responses, Defendant may re-file a motion to compel.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that Defendant's "Motion To Compel" (Document No. 11) is **DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.

Signed: July 1, 2016



David C. Keesler
United States Magistrate Judge

